UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V.	O N 440 00050 D.U
Felipe Bartolon-Verdugo Defendant	Case No. 1:10-cr-00250-RJJ
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Re that the defendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
·	indings of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	8156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term o	f ten years or more is prescribed in:
	*
U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	
any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.0	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumerson or the community. I further find that defendant	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternativ	ve Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendar	it has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
	ve Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
	the Reasons for Detention
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	ne detention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing
 Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be r Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention 	released in any case.
Part III – Direction	ns Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 30, 2010	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	